Classification of Minimal Polygons with Specified Singularity Content

Daniel Cavey

(Joint work with Edwin Kutas)

University of Nottingham

2018

Fano Varieties

A projective manifold, or a variety X over \mathbb{C} comes equipped with a notion of curvature. We use this to place X into one of three classes:



It is natural to ask for a classification of smooth Fano varieties in dimension n.

Fano Varieties

Smooth varieties (manifolds) are classified up to dimension 3.

Dimension	Number of Smooth	Examples
	Fano Varieties	
1	1	\mathbb{P}^1
2	10	\mathbb{P}^2 , $\mathbb{P}^1 imes \mathbb{P}^1$ and
		blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in \leq 8 points
3	105	\mathbb{P}^3 , etc.

The 2-dimensional classification is due to del Pezzo in 1880s and the 3-dimensional classification due to Mori–Mukai in 1980s. We do not know much in dimension greater or equal than 4.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Fano Polytopes

Let N be a lattice. A polytope P in $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is a set of the form

$$P = \Big\{ \sum_{u \in S} \lambda_u u : \lambda_u > 0 \text{ and } \sum_{u \in S} \lambda_u = 1 \Big\},$$

where $S \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a finite set of points. A Fano polytope is a full-dimensional convex polytope such that

- the vertices $\mathcal{V}(P) \in N$ are all primitive.
- ▶ the origin lies in the strict interior of *P*.

When N is a rank-two lattice P is known as a Fano polygon. We consider polytopes up to GL(N)-equivalence.

$$\stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \cong \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow}$$
 via the change of basis $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ on N .

Fano Polytopes

The span of each face *E* of a Fano polygon *P*, by which we mean $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}E$, defines a cone. We obtain a fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to *P*.



This determines a *toric* del Pezzo surface X_P .



Many properties of X_P have combinatorial analogues in the Fano polygon P; examples include the singularities and the anticanonical degree $(-K_{X_P})^2$.

Mirror Symmetry

Smooth Fano Variety



Mirror Symmetry

Given a Fano manifold X, mirror symmetry tells us (conjecturally) how to associate a Laurent polynomial f which is said to be *mirror* dual to X.

- Choice of mirror dual is not necessarily unique.
- Can transform f via a mutation (a special birational transformation φ : (ℂ[×])ⁿ → (ℂ[×])ⁿ) to obtain another Laurent polynomial g
- ▶ g also mirror dual to X.
- This notion of a mutation is captured when we move to the Fano polytopes Newt(f) and Newt(g).

Mutation of Polygons

Let $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a polygon, and E be an edge of P. Consider the primitive inward pointing normal $\omega_E \in M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ of this edge. This vector can be thought of as a grading function on the polygon P. For $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\omega_h(P) = \operatorname{conv}\{v \in N \cap P : \omega_E(v) = h\}.$$



Mutation of Polygons

Choose v_E to be a primitive vector of the lattice N such that $\omega_E(v_E) = 0$. Set $F = \operatorname{conv}\{\mathbf{0}, v_E\}$. For all h < 0, suppose that there exists $G_h \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$\{v \in \mathcal{V}(P) : \omega_E(v) = h\} \subseteq G_h + |h|F \subseteq \omega_h(P).$$

Then we define the *mutation* of P given by ω_E , F and G_h to be

$$\operatorname{mut}_{(\omega_E,F)}(P) = \operatorname{conv}\Big(\bigcup_{h<0} G_h \cup \bigcup_{h\geq 0} (\omega_h(P) + hF)\Big) \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$$



Mutation of Polygons

Lemma

Let E be an edge of a Fano polygon P with primitive inner normal vector $\omega_E \in M$. Then P admits a mutation with respect to ω if and only if $|E \cap N| - 1 \ge |\omega(E)|$.

We can use mutations to define an equivalence relation on the set of Fano polygons.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cyclic Quotient Singularities

A quotient singularity $\frac{1}{R}(a, b)$ is given by the action of μ_R on \mathbb{C}^2 by $(x, y) \mapsto (\epsilon^a x, \epsilon^b y)$ where ϵ is an R^{th} root of unity, and considering $Z = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\mu_R})$. The germ of the origin is the singularity.

For example consider a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1)$ singularity. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon = -1$. We consider the action of G on \mathbb{C}^2 described by

$$-1\cdot(x,y)=(-x,-y).$$

We have

$$Z = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]^G)$$

= Spec($\mathbb{C}[x^2, xy, y^2]$)
= Spec($\mathbb{C}[u, v, w]/(uw - v^2)$)
= $\mathbb{V}(uw - v^2) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$.

Cyclic Quotient Singularities

A quotient singularity $\frac{1}{R}(a, b)$ is cyclic if:

$$gcd(R, a) = gcd(R, b) = 1.$$

Set:

$$k = \gcd(a + b, R).$$

So:

$$a + b = kc$$
 and $R = kr$.

We can write the cyclic quotient singularity as

$$\frac{1}{kr}(1, kc - 1).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Cyclic Quotient Singularities

We have two types of singularities here:

- A cyclic quotient singularity $\frac{1}{kr}(1, kc 1)$ is a *T*-singularity if $r \mid k$.
 - A T-singularity admits a qG-smoothing. (Kollar–Shepherd-Barron)
- A cyclic quotient singularity $\frac{1}{kr}(1, kc 1)$ is an *R*-singularity if k < r.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

 An R-singularity is rigid under qG-deformation. (Kollar–Shepherd-Barron)

Consider an arbitrary cyclic quotient singularity $\sigma = \frac{1}{kr}(1, kc - 1)$. By the Euclidean Algorithm there exists unique non-negative integers *n* and k_0 such that:

$$k = nr + k_0$$
.

If $k_0 > 0$, then σ is qG-deformation equivalent to a $\frac{1}{k_0 r}(1, k_0 c - 1)$ cyclic quotient singularity. The *residue* of σ is given by:

$$\operatorname{res}(\sigma) = egin{cases} arnothing \ arn$$

The *singularity content* of σ is given by the pair:

$$SC(\sigma) = (n, res(\sigma)).$$

Consider a cone C corresponding to an edge E of a polygon:



By the Euclidean algorithm:

$$l = hn + r$$
.

We divide *C* into separate sub-cones C_0, \dots, C_n where C_1, \dots, C_n (known as T-cones) have lattice length *h*, and C_0 has lattice length *r* and is known as an R-cone.

Each cone corresponds to a cyclic quotient singularity of the corresponding toric variety. This allows us to define the singularity content of an edge E.

Let $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a polygon.

- Label the edges of *P* in clockwise order $E_1, \cdots E_k$.
- Each edge E_i corresponds to a cyclic quotient singularity σ_i corresponding to this cone.

• Let
$$SC(E_i) = (n_i, res(\sigma_i))$$
.

• We define the *singularity content* of *P* to be:

$$SC(P) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i, \mathcal{B}\right),$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{ \operatorname{res}(\sigma_1), \cdots, \operatorname{res}(\sigma_k) \}.$

Consider the following polygon P.



- E_0 and E_1 both give T-cones.
- E_2 gives an R-cone representing a $\frac{1}{5}(1,1)$ singularity.
- So *P* has singularity content $\left(2, \left\{\frac{1}{5}(1,1)\right\}\right)$

Singularity content is an invariant under mutation!

Conjecture A: There exists a bijective correspondence between the set of mutation-equivalence classes of Fano polygons and the set of qG-deformation equivalence classes of locally qG-rigid TG del Pezzo surfaces with cyclic quotient singularities.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Recent results from Corti, Heuberger, Kasprzyk, Nill, Prince certainly support this conjecture.

Classification of Fano Polygons

- ► There are precisely 10 mutation-equivalence classes of Fano polygons with singularity content (n, Ø). They are in bijective correspondence with the 10 families of smooth del Pezzo surfaces.
- ▶ There are precisely 26 qG-deformation families of del Pezzo surfaces with $m \ge 1$ singular points of type $\frac{1}{3}(1,1)$ admitting a toric degeneration. They are in bijective correspondence with 26 mutation-equivalence classes of Fano polygons with singularity content $(n, \{m \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1)\}), m \ge 1$.

$$\underbrace{\bullet}{\bullet} \overset{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

Kutas and C. have designed an efficient algorithm to build on the work of Kasprzyk, Nill and Prince.

Input: Singularity Content (n, \mathcal{B}) .

Output: Representative of every mutation-equivalence class of Fano polygons with singularity content (n, B).

Assuming Conjecture A holds, this is equivalent to a classification of locally qG-rigid del Pezzo surfaces admitting a toric degeneration.

As a corollary to this algorithm we have the following classifications:

- ▶ There are precisely 14 mutation-equivalence classes of Fano polygons with singularity content $\left(n, \{m_1 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1), m_2 \times \frac{1}{6}(1,1)\}\right)$ with $m_1 \ge 0, m_2 > 0$.
- ► There are precisely 12 mutation-equivalence classes of Fano polygons with singularity content (n, {m × 1/5(1,1)}) with m > 0.

Classification of Fano Polytopes



Figure 1: Minimal Representatives of Mutation-Equivalence Classes of Fano Polygons with Singularity Content $\left(n, \{m_1 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1), m_2 \times \frac{1}{6}(1,1)\}\right)$ where $m_1 \ge 0, m_2 > 0$.