## The lecture hall cone as a toric deformation

Lukas Katthän

Goethe-Universität Frankfurt

August 3, 2018

## Lecture Hall Partitions

A Lecture Hall partition is a finite sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{n} \geq \frac{\lambda_2}{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{1} \geq 0.$$

The set  $L_n$  of Lecture Hall partitions is the set of lattice points in the Lecture Hall cone, which is the cone over the simplex with vertices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\n-1\\0\\\vdots\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(My indexing convention is reversed compared with the other talk.)

## Lecture Hall Partitions

A Lecture Hall partition is a finite sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{n} \geq \frac{\lambda_2}{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{1} \geq 0.$$

The set  $L_n$  of Lecture Hall partitions is the set of lattice points in the Lecture Hall cone, which is the cone over the simplex with vertices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(My indexing convention is reversed compared with the other talk.)

## Lecture Hall Partitions

A Lecture Hall partition is a finite sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{n} \geq \frac{\lambda_2}{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{1} \geq 0.$$

The set  $L_n$  of Lecture Hall partitions is the set of lattice points in the Lecture Hall cone, which is the cone over the simplex with vertices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n\\n-1\\n-2\\\vdots\\2\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(My indexing convention is reversed compared with the other talk.)

## The Lecture Hall Theorem

The original motivation for studying Lecture Hall Paritions is the Lecture Hall theorem. For a lecture hall partition  $\lambda$  let

 $\begin{aligned} |\lambda|_o &:= \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \cdots \\ |\lambda|_e &:= \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6 + \cdots . \end{aligned}$ 

 $h:holds:that \qquad \sum a_i^{|V_i|}a_i^{|V_i|} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{d}a_i^{|V_i|}}$ 

## The Lecture Hall Theorem

The original motivation for studying Lecture Hall Paritions is the Lecture Hall theorem. For a lecture hall partition  $\lambda$  let

$$\begin{split} |\lambda|_o &:= \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \cdots \\ |\lambda|_e &:= \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6 + \cdots . \end{split}$$

The Lecture Hall theorem (Bousquet-Mélou and Eriksson, '97)

It holds that 
$$\sum_{\lambda\in \mathsf{L}_n}q_1^{|\lambda|_e}q_2^{|\lambda|_e}=\prod_{i=1}^nrac{1}{1-q_1^iq_2^{i-1}}.$$

## The Lecture Hall Theorem

It

The original motivation for studying Lecture Hall Paritions is the Lecture Hall theorem. For a lecture hall partition  $\lambda$  let

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda|_o &:= \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \cdots \\ |\lambda|_e &:= \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6 + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

The Lecture Hall theorem (Bousquet-Mélou and Eriksson, '97)

holds that 
$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{L}_n} q_1^{|\lambda|_o} q_2^{|\lambda|_e} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - q_1^i q_2^{i-1}}.$$

## The Lecture Hall Theorem

The original motivation for studying Lecture Hall Paritions is the Lecture Hall theorem. For a lecture hall partition  $\lambda$  let

$$\begin{split} |\lambda|_o &:= \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \cdots \\ |\lambda|_e &:= \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_6 + \cdots . \end{split}$$

The Lecture Hall theorem (Bousquet-Mélou and Eriksson, '97)

It holds that 
$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{L}_n} q_1^{|\lambda|_o} q_2^{|\lambda|_e} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1-q_1^i q_2^{i-1}}.$$

# The Lecture Hall Theorem Example: n = 3

For n = 3, a Hilbert basis of  $L_n$  is given by  $v_1 := (1,0,0), v_2 := (2,1,0), v_3 := (3,2,0)$  and  $v_4 := (3,2,1)$ . There is one relation:  $2v_2 = v_1 + v_3$ . Thus the multigraded Hilbert series is

$$H(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{1 - q_1^4 q_2^2}{(1 - q_1)(1 - q_1^2 q_2)(1 - q_1^3 q_2^2)(1 - q_1^3 q_2^2 q_3)}$$

Specializing to  $|\lambda|_o$  and  $|\lambda|_e$  amounts to setting  $q_3 = q_1$ . This yields

$$\begin{split} H(q_1,q_2,q_1) &= \frac{1-q_1^4 q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2 q_2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2 q_1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2 q_2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2)}, \end{split}$$

Example: n = 3

For n = 3, a Hilbert basis of  $L_n$  is given by  $v_1 := (1,0,0), v_2 := (2,1,0), v_3 := (3,2,0)$  and  $v_4 := (3,2,1)$ . There is one relation:  $2v_2 = v_1 + v_3$ . Thus the multigraded Hilbert series is

$$H(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{1 - q_1^4 q_2^2}{(1 - q_1)(1 - q_1^2 q_2)(1 - q_1^3 q_2^2)(1 - q_1^3 q_2^2 q_3)}$$

Specializing to  $|\lambda|_o$  and  $|\lambda|_e$  amounts to setting  $q_3 = q_1$ . This yields

$$\begin{split} H(q_1,q_2,q_1) &= \frac{1-q_1^4 q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2 q_2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2 q_1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2 q_2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2)}, \end{split}$$

Example: n = 3

For n = 3, a Hilbert basis of  $L_n$  is given by  $v_1 := (1,0,0), v_2 := (2,1,0), v_3 := (3,2,0)$  and  $v_4 := (3,2,1)$ . There is one relation:  $2v_2 = v_1 + v_3$ . Thus the multigraded Hilbert series is

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(q_1,q_2,q_3) &= rac{1-q_1^4 q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2 q_2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2 q_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Specializing to  $|\lambda|_o$  and  $|\lambda|_e$  amounts to setting  $q_3=q_1.$  This yields

$$H(q_1, q_2, q_1) = \frac{1 - q_1^4 q_2^2}{(1 - q_1)(1 - q_1^2 q_2)(1 - q_1^3 q_2^2)(1 - q_1^3 q_2^2 q_1)} \\ = \frac{1}{(1 - q_1)(1 - q_1^2 q_2)(1 - q_1^3 q_2^2)},$$

Example: n = 3

For n = 3, a Hilbert basis of  $L_n$  is given by  $v_1 := (1,0,0), v_2 := (2,1,0), v_3 := (3,2,0)$  and  $v_4 := (3,2,1)$ . There is one relation:  $2v_2 = v_1 + v_3$ . Thus the multigraded Hilbert series is

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(q_1,q_2,q_3) &= rac{1-q_1^4 q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2 q_2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2)(1-q_1^3 q_2^2 q_3)}, \end{aligned}$$

Specializing to  $|\lambda|_o$  and  $|\lambda|_e$  amounts to setting  $q_3=q_1.$  This yields

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(q_1,q_2,q_1) &= rac{1-q_1^4q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2q_1)} \ &= rac{1}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

There are a number of proofs of the LH Theorem, but it is still not considered to be well understood:

[...], Theorem 1.2 is hardly understood at all. This is in spite of the fact that by now there are many proofs, including those of Bousquet-Mélou and Eriksson [8–10], Andrews [1], Yee [55,56], Andrews, Paule, Riese, and Strehl [3], Eriksen [31], and Bradford et al. [11]. We have also contributed to the collection of proofs with co-authors Corteel [25], Corteel and Lee [20], Andrews and Corteel [2], Bright [15], and, most recently, Corteel and Lovejoy [23].

C.D. Savage, "The mathematics of lecture hall partitions", JCTA, 2016.

Let's have another look at the example:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}(q_1,q_2,\pmb{q_1}) = rac{1-q_1^4q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2\pmb{q_1})} \ &= rac{1}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

**Observations**:

- This works because the last generator has the same Z<sup>2</sup>-degree as the relation among the other generators.
- The Hilbert series looks like the Hilbert series of a polynomial ring with an unusual 22-grading.

Let's have another look at the example:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{H}(q_1,q_2,\pmb{q_1}) = rac{1-q_1^4q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2\pmb{q_1})} \ &= rac{1}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

Observations:

- This works because the last generator has the same  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -degree as the relation among the other generators.
- The Hilbert series looks like the Hilbert series of a polynomial ring with an unusual  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -grading.

Let's have another look at the example:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{H}(q_1,q_2,\pmb{q_1}) = rac{1-q_1^4q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2\pmb{q_1})} \ &= rac{1}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

Observations:

- This works because the last generator has the same  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -degree as the relation among the other generators.
- The Hilbert series looks like the Hilbert series of a polynomial ring with an unusual  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -grading.

Let's have another look at the example:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{H}(q_1,q_2,\pmb{q_1}) = rac{1-q_1^4q_2^2}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2\pmb{q_1})} \ &= rac{1}{(1-q_1)(1-q_1^2q_2)(1-q_1^3q_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

Observations:

- This works because the last generator has the same  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -degree as the relation among the other generators.
- The Hilbert series looks like the Hilbert series of a polynomial ring with an unusual  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -grading.

## Initial Subalgebras

Some algebraic background

- Let  $S_n := \Bbbk[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  be a polynomial ring over some field.
- $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in S_n$  polynomials
- $\prec$ : a term order on  $S_n$ .

### Definition

Let  $A := \Bbbk[p_1, \ldots, p_r]$  be the subalgebra generated by the  $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ . The inital subalgebra of A is

 $\ln_{\prec}(A) := \operatorname{Span}_{\Bbbk}(\ln_{\prec}(p) \mid p \in A)$ 

In general we have that  $\Bbbk[\ln_{\prec}(p_1), \dots, \ln_{\prec}(p_r)] \subseteq \ln_{\prec}(A)$ . The  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  are called a SAGBI Basis if equality holds.

## Initial Subalgebras

Some algebraic background

- Let  $S_n := \Bbbk[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  be a polynomial ring over some field.
- $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in S_n$  polynomials
- $\prec$ : a term order on  $S_n$ .

### Definition

Let  $A := \Bbbk[p_1, \ldots, p_r]$  be the subalgebra generated by the  $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ . The initial subalgebra of A is

$$\operatorname{In}_{\prec}(A) := \operatorname{Span}_{\Bbbk}(\operatorname{In}_{\prec}(p) \mid p \in A)$$

In general we have that  $\mathbb{k}[\ln_{\prec}(p_1), \dots, \ln_{\prec}(p_r)] \subseteq \ln_{\prec}(A)$ . The  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  are called a SAGBI Basis if equality holds.

## Initial Subalgebras

Some algebraic background

- Let  $S_n := \Bbbk[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  be a polynomial ring over some field.
- $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in S_n$  polynomials
- $\prec$ : a term order on  $S_n$ .

### Definition

Let  $A := \Bbbk[p_1, \ldots, p_r]$  be the subalgebra generated by the  $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ . The initial subalgebra of A is

$$\operatorname{In}_{\prec}(A) := \operatorname{Span}_{\Bbbk}(\operatorname{In}_{\prec}(p) \mid p \in A)$$

In general we have that  $\mathbb{k}[\ln_{\prec}(p_1), \ldots, \ln_{\prec}(p_r)] \subseteq \ln_{\prec}(A)$ . The  $p_1, \ldots, p_r$  are called a SAGBI Basis if equality holds.



Some algebraic background

Initial subalgebras behave similar to initial ideals, and SAGBI bases correspond to Gröbner bases.

Here we only need the following:

Lemma (Conca, Herzog, Trung, Valla 1997)

If  $S_n$  is graded and  $A \subseteq S_n$  is a graded subalgebra, then the Hilbert series of A and  $\ln_{\prec}(A)$  coincide.

Example n = 3, continued

Hilbert basis of  $\textbf{L}_3 {:} \quad (1,0,0), (2,1,0), (3,2,0), (3,2,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ 

- Let  $S_3 := k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$  with grading deg  $y_1 := \deg y_3 := (1, 0)$  and deg  $y_2 := (0, 1)$ .
- Ehrhart ring:  $A := \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Instead, we consider  $\tilde{A} := \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Fact: A = In<sub>≺</sub>(Ã), and thus their Hilbert series coincide. (Here, ≺ is any order with y<sub>3</sub> ≺ y<sub>1</sub>.)
- Observation:  $y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3 = y_1 \cdot (y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3) (y_1^2 y_2)^2$ .
- Hence, Ã = k[y<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>y<sub>2</sub>, y<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup>y<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + y<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>y<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>y<sub>3</sub>]. The generators are algebracially independent, hence this is a polynomial ring and the LH theorem follows (for n = 3).

Example n = 3, continued

Hilbert basis of  $\textbf{L}_3 {:} \quad (1,0,0), (2,1,0), (3,2,0), (3,2,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ 

- Let  $S_3 := k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$  with grading deg  $y_1 := \deg y_3 := (1, 0)$  and deg  $y_2 := (0, 1)$ .
- Ehrhart ring:  $A := \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Instead, we consider  $\tilde{A} := \Bbbk[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Fact: A = In<sub>≺</sub>(Ã), and thus their Hilbert series coincide. (Here, ≺ is any order with y<sub>3</sub> ≺ y<sub>1</sub>.)
- Observation:  $y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3 = y_1 \cdot (y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3) (y_1^2 y_2)^2$ .
- Hence,  $\tilde{A} = \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3]$ . The generators are algebracially independent, hence this is a polynomial ring and the LH theorem follows (for n = 3).

Example n = 3, continued

Hilbert basis of  $\textbf{L}_3 {:} \quad (1,0,0), (2,1,0), (3,2,0), (3,2,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ 

- Let  $S_3 := k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$  with grading deg  $y_1 := \deg y_3 := (1, 0)$  and deg  $y_2 := (0, 1)$ .
- Ehrhart ring:  $A := \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Instead, we consider  $\tilde{A} := \Bbbk[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Fact:  $A = \ln_{\prec}(\tilde{A})$ , and thus their Hilbert series coincide. (Here,  $\prec$  is any order with  $y_3 \prec y_1$ .)
- Observation:  $y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3 = y_1 \cdot (y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3) (y_1^2 y_2)^2$ .
- Hence, A

   = k[y<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>y<sub>2</sub>, y<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup>y<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> + y<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>y<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>y<sub>3</sub>]. The generators are
   algebracially independent, hence this is a polynomial ring and the LH
   theorem follows (for n = 3).

Example n = 3, continued

Hilbert basis of  $\textbf{L}_3 {:} \quad (1,0,0), (2,1,0), (3,2,0), (3,2,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ 

- Let  $S_3 := k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$  with grading deg  $y_1 := \deg y_3 := (1, 0)$  and deg  $y_2 := (0, 1)$ .
- Ehrhart ring:  $A := \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Instead, we consider  $\tilde{A} := \Bbbk[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Fact:  $A = \ln_{\prec}(\tilde{A})$ , and thus their Hilbert series coincide. (Here,  $\prec$  is any order with  $y_3 \prec y_1$ .)
- Observation:  $y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3 = y_1 \cdot (y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3) (y_1^2 y_2)^2$ .
- Hence,  $A = \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2y_2, y_1^3y_2^2 + y_1^2y_2^2y_3]$ . The generators are algebracially independent, hence this is a polynomial ring and the LH theorem follows (for n = 3).

Example n = 3, continued

Hilbert basis of  $\textbf{L}_3 {:} \quad (1,0,0), (2,1,0), (3,2,0), (3,2,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ 

- Let  $S_3 := k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$  with grading deg  $y_1 := \deg y_3 := (1, 0)$  and deg  $y_2 := (0, 1)$ .
- Ehrhart ring:  $A := \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Instead, we consider  $\tilde{A} := \Bbbk[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Fact:  $A = \ln_{\prec}(\tilde{A})$ , and thus their Hilbert series coincide. (Here,  $\prec$  is any order with  $y_3 \prec y_1$ .)
- Observation:  $y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3 = y_1 \cdot (y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3) (y_1^2 y_2)^2$ .
- Hence,  $\tilde{A} = \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3]$ . The generators are algebracially independent, hence this is a polynomial ring and the LH theorem follows (for n = 3).

Example n = 3, continued

Hilbert basis of  $\textbf{L}_3 {:} \quad (1,0,0), (2,1,0), (3,2,0), (3,2,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ 

- Let  $S_3 := k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$  with grading deg  $y_1 := \deg y_3 := (1, 0)$  and deg  $y_2 := (0, 1)$ .
- Ehrhart ring:  $A := \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Instead, we consider  $\tilde{A} := \Bbbk[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3, y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3] \subset S_3.$
- Fact:  $A = \ln_{\prec}(\tilde{A})$ , and thus their Hilbert series coincide. (Here,  $\prec$  is any order with  $y_3 \prec y_1$ .)
- Observation:  $y_1^3 y_2^2 y_3 = y_1 \cdot (y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3) (y_1^2 y_2)^2$ .
- Hence,  $\tilde{A} = \mathbb{k}[y_1, y_1^2 y_2, y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3]$ . The generators are algebracially independent, hence this is a polynomial ring and the LH theorem follows (for n = 3).

Let  $S_n := \Bbbk[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ . We consider the following  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -grading on  $S_n$ :

$$\deg y_i := \begin{cases} (0,1) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (1,0) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For a sequence of polynomials  $\mathbf{P} := P_1, P_2, \ldots$  in  $S_n$  we define an infinite matrix  $M(\mathbf{P})$  by setting

$$M(\mathbf{P})_{i,j} := egin{cases} -P_{j-i+1} & ext{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Explicitly,  $M(\mathbf{P})$  looks as follows:

$$M(\mathbf{P}) = \begin{pmatrix} -P_1 & -P_2 & -P_3 & -P_4 & \dots \\ 0 & -P_1 & -P_2 & -P_3 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & -P_1 & -P_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -P_1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

(This is a Toeplitz matrix.)

$$M(\mathbf{P})_{i,j} := egin{cases} -P_{j-i+1} & ext{if } j \geq i \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notation:

For A, B ⊂ N let Δ<sup>A</sup><sub>B</sub>(M(P)) be the submatrix using rows in A and columns in B.

• For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P}) := -\det \Delta_{\{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor, \dots, i\}}^{\{1, \dots, \lceil i/2 \rceil\}}(M(\mathbf{P})).$$

In other words:  $\mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P})$  is defined using the maximal top-aligned square submatrix of  $M(\mathbf{P})$ , whose top right corner is  $-P_i$  and which does not contain any of the zeros of  $M(\mathbf{P})$ .

$$M(\mathbf{P})_{i,j} := egin{cases} -P_{j-i+1} & ext{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notation:

- For A, B ⊂ N let Δ<sup>A</sup><sub>B</sub>(M(P)) be the submatrix using rows in A and columns in B.
- For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P}) := -\det \Delta_{\{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor, \dots, i\}}^{\{1, \dots, \lceil i/2 \rceil\}}(M(\mathbf{P})).$$

In other words:  $\mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P})$  is defined using the maximal top-aligned square submatrix of  $M(\mathbf{P})$ , whose top right corner is  $-P_i$  and which does not contain any of the zeros of  $M(\mathbf{P})$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})_{i,j} &:= \begin{cases} -P_{j-i+1} & \text{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P}) &:= -\det \Delta_{\{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor, \dots, i\}}^{\{1, \dots, \lceil i/2 \rceil\}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})). \end{split}$$

### Definition

The Lecture Hall polynomials are those Laurent polynomials  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in Quot(S_n)$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$

for all  $i \geq 1$ .

These equations can be used to iteratively compute the  $\ell_i$ . In particular, the  $\ell_i$  are well-defined.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})_{i,j} &:= \begin{cases} -P_{j-i+1} & \text{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P}) &:= -\det \Delta_{\{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor, \dots, i\}}^{\{1, \dots, \lceil i/2 \rceil\}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})). \end{split}$$

### Definition

The Lecture Hall polynomials are those Laurent polynomials  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in Quot(S_n)$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$

for all  $i \geq 1$ .

These equations can be used to iteratively compute the  $\ell_i$ . In particular, the  $\ell_i$  are well-defined.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})_{i,j} &:= \begin{cases} -P_{j-i+1} & \text{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P}) &:= -\det \Delta_{\{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor, \dots, i \}}^{\{1, \dots, \lceil i/2 \rceil\}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P})). \end{split}$$

### Definition

The Lecture Hall polynomials are those Laurent polynomials  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in Quot(S_n)$ , such that

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$

for all  $i \geq 1$ .

These equations can be used to iteratively compute the  $\ell_i$ . In particular, the  $\ell_i$  are well-defined.

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$



$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$

$$y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{1}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{1}) = \ell_{1} \implies \ell_{1} = y_{1}$$

$$y_{1}^{2}y_{2} = \mathcal{E}_{2}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{2}) = \ell_{2} \implies \ell_{2} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}$$

$$y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = \mathcal{E}_{3}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\left(-\ell_{2} - \ell_{3} - \ell_{2}\right) = \ell_{1}\ell_{3} - \ell_{2}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{3} = y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2} + y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}(y_{1} + y_{3})$$

$$y_{1}^{4}y_{3}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{4}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\left(-\ell_{3} - \ell_{4} - \ell_{3}\right) = \ell_{2}\ell_{4} - \ell_{3}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{4} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{3}(y_{1} + y_{3})^{2} + y_{4}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$

$$y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{1}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{1}) = \ell_{1} \qquad \implies \ell_{1} = y_{1}$$

$$y_{1}^{2}y_{2} = \mathcal{E}_{2}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{2}) = \ell_{2} \qquad \implies \ell_{2} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}$$

$$y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = \mathcal{E}_{3}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\left(-\ell_{2} - \ell_{3}\right) = \ell_{1}\ell_{3} - \ell_{2}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{3} = y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2} + y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}(y_{1} + y_{3})$$

$$(y_{3}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{4}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\left(-\ell_{3} - \ell_{4}\right) = \ell_{2}\ell_{4} - \ell_{3}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{4} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{3}(y_{1} + y_{3})^{2} + y_{4}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$

$$y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{1}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{1}) = \ell_{1} \implies \ell_{1} = y_{1}$$

$$y_{1}^{2}y_{2} = \mathcal{E}_{2}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{2}) = \ell_{2} \implies \ell_{2} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}$$

$$y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = \mathcal{E}_{3}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\begin{pmatrix}-\ell_{2} & -\ell_{3}\\ -\ell_{1} & -\ell_{2}\end{pmatrix} = \ell_{1}\ell_{3} - \ell_{2}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{3} = y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2} + y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}(y_{1} + y_{3})$$

$$(y_{3}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{4}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\begin{pmatrix}-\ell_{3} & -\ell_{4}\\ -\ell_{2} & -\ell_{3}\end{pmatrix} = \ell_{2}\ell_{4} - \ell_{3}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{4} = y_{4}^{2}y_{3}^{3}(y_{1} + y_{2})^{2} + y_{4}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{3}^{2} = \ell_{2}\ell_{4} - \ell_{3}^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,\dots)=y_1^iy_2^{i-1}\cdots y_{i-1}^2y_i$$

$$y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{1}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{1}) = \ell_{1} \implies \ell_{1} = y_{1}$$

$$y_{1}^{2}y_{2} = \mathcal{E}_{2}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det(-\ell_{2}) = \ell_{2} \implies \ell_{2} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}$$

$$y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = \mathcal{E}_{3}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\left(\begin{pmatrix}-\ell_{2} & -\ell_{3} \\ -\ell_{1} & -\ell_{2}\end{pmatrix}\right) = \ell_{1}\ell_{3} - \ell_{2}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{3} = y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2} + y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{3} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}(y_{1} + y_{3})$$

$$y_{1}^{4}y_{3}^{3}y_{2}^{2}y_{1} = \mathcal{E}_{4}(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = -\det\left(\begin{pmatrix}-\ell_{3} & -\ell_{4} \\ -\ell_{2} & -\ell_{3}\end{pmatrix}\right) = \ell_{2}\ell_{4} - \ell_{3}^{2}$$

$$\implies \ell_{4} = y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{3}(y_{1} + y_{3})^{2} + y_{4}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{2}^{2}y_{1}$$

### ture nall cone

## The Lecture Hall Polynomials

Easy observations:

- Each ℓ<sub>i</sub> is a Laurent polynomial, has coefficients in Z, and is homogeneous of degree (i, i − 1).
- For each i ≥ 0, the i-th Lecture Hall polynomial l<sub>i</sub> depends only on the variables y<sub>1</sub>,..., y<sub>i</sub>, and it is non-constant as a function of y<sub>i</sub>.

### Conjecture

Each Lecture Hall polynomial  $l_i$  is in fact a polynomial.

Easy observations:

- Each ℓ<sub>i</sub> is a Laurent polynomial, has coefficients in Z, and is homogeneous of degree (i, i − 1).
- For each i ≥ 0, the i-th Lecture Hall polynomial l<sub>i</sub> depends only on the variables y<sub>1</sub>,..., y<sub>i</sub>, and it is non-constant as a function of y<sub>i</sub>.

### Conjecture

Each Lecture Hall polynomial  $\ell_i$  is in fact a polynomial.

Easy observations:

- Each ℓ<sub>i</sub> is a Laurent polynomial, has coefficients in Z, and is homogeneous of degree (i, i − 1).
- For each i ≥ 0, the i-th Lecture Hall polynomial l<sub>i</sub> depends only on the variables y<sub>1</sub>,..., y<sub>i</sub>, and it is non-constant as a function of y<sub>i</sub>.

### Conjecture

Each Lecture Hall polynomial  $\ell_i$  is in fact a polynomial.

Easy observations:

- Each ℓ<sub>i</sub> is a Laurent polynomial, has coefficients in Z, and is homogeneous of degree (i, i − 1).
- For each i ≥ 0, the i-th Lecture Hall polynomial l<sub>i</sub> depends only on the variables y<sub>1</sub>,..., y<sub>i</sub>, and it is non-constant as a function of y<sub>i</sub>.

### Conjecture

Each Lecture Hall polynomial  $\ell_i$  is in fact a polynomial.

Each  $\ell_i$  depends on  $y_i$ , but not on  $y_j$ , j > i. This implies that  $\ell_i$  are algebraically independent, and thus we get:

### Corollary

The algebra  $A_n := \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n] \subset \text{Quot}(S_n)$  is isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded polynomial ring. Its Hilbert series equals

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - q_1^i q_2^{i-1}}$$

This is the right-hand side of the LH theorem.

 $\ln_{\prec}(A_n)$  is supposed to be the Ehrhart ring. But the latter has  $2^{n-1}$  generators, while  $A_n$  has only n. So the  $\ell_n$  cannot be a SAGBI basis, and thus we need extra generators.

Each  $\ell_i$  depends on  $y_i$ , but not on  $y_j$ , j > i. This implies that  $\ell_i$  are algebraically independent, and thus we get:

### Corollary

The algebra  $A_n := \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n] \subset \text{Quot}(S_n)$  is isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded polynomial ring. Its Hilbert series equals

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - q_1^i q_2^{i-1}}$$

This is the right-hand side of the LH theorem.

 $\ln_{\prec}(A_n)$  is supposed to be the Ehrhart ring. But the latter has  $2^{n-1}$  generators, while  $A_n$  has only n. So the  $\ell_n$  cannot be a SAGBI basis, and thus we need extra generators.

A candidate for the SAGBI basis

### Definition

For a finite set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}, S \neq \emptyset$  let

$$\ell_{\mathcal{S}} := -\det \Delta^{[\#\mathcal{S}]}_{\mathcal{S}+1}(M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{LH}})),$$

where  $S + 1 := \{ s + 1 \mid s \in S \}$ . In other words,  $\ell_S$  is the negative of the minor of  $M(\mathbf{P}_{LH})$  using #S many top rows and the columns in S + 1. In addition, we set  $\ell_{\emptyset} := \ell_1$ .

Note that  $\ell_i = \ell_{\{i-1\}}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and that  $\ell_{\{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor, ..., i-1\}} = \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = y_1^i y_2^{i-1} \cdots y_{i-1}^2 y_i$ .

A candidate for the SAGBI basis

### Definition

For a finite set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}, S \neq \emptyset$  let

$$\ell_{\mathcal{S}} := -\det \Delta^{[\#\mathcal{S}]}_{\mathcal{S}+1}(M(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{LH}})),$$

where  $S + 1 := \{ s + 1 \mid s \in S \}$ . In other words,  $\ell_S$  is the negative of the minor of  $M(\mathbf{P}_{LH})$  using #S many top rows and the columns in S + 1. In addition, we set  $\ell_{\emptyset} := \ell_1$ .

Note that  $\ell_i = \ell_{\{i-1\}}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and that  $\ell_{\{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor, \dots, i-1\}} = \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{P}_{LH}) = y_1^i y_2^{i-1} \cdots y_{i-1}^2 y_i.$ 

### Our main conjecture

Let  $\prec$  be the degree-lexicographic term order on  $S_n := \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  with  $y_1 \succ y_2 \succ \ldots \succ y_n$ , and let  $A_n = \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n]$ .

### Conjecture

- all a sun assistant starges (all fair) equals the Ebrian rule of La
  - Provide and the process of a subscription of { [1, 2, 2, 1] } [2, 2, 1] } [2, 2, 1] }
  - This implies the LH theorem.
  - Also, it implies that  $\{ \ell_S \mid S \subseteq [n-1] \}$  is a SAGBI basis for  $A_n$
  - I verified the conjecture for  $n \leq 12$ .
  - Note: For n ≤ 12, the leading term of every ℓ<sub>S</sub> has coefficient 1. This is the reason for our choice of signs.

### Our main conjecture

Let  $\prec$  be the degree-lexicographic term order on  $S_n := \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  with  $y_1 \succ y_2 \succ \ldots \succ y_n$ , and let  $A_n = \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n]$ .

### Conjecture

- **1** The initial subalgebra  $In_{\prec}(A_n)$  equals the Ehrhart ring of  $L_n$ .
- 2 The set of exponent vectors of { In<sub>≺</sub>(l<sub>S</sub>) | S ⊆ [n − 1] } is the Hilbert basis of L<sub>n</sub>.
- This implies the LH theorem.
- Also, it implies that  $\{ \ell_S \mid S \subseteq [n-1] \}$  is a SAGBI basis for  $A_n$
- I verified the conjecture for  $n \leq 12$ .
- Note: For n ≤ 12, the leading term of every ℓ<sub>S</sub> has coefficient 1. This is the reason for our choice of signs.

### Our main conjecture

Let  $\prec$  be the degree-lexicographic term order on  $S_n := \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  with  $y_1 \succ y_2 \succ \ldots \succ y_n$ , and let  $A_n = \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n]$ .

### Conjecture

- **1** The initial subalgebra  $In_{\prec}(A_n)$  equals the Ehrhart ring of  $L_n$ .
- 2 The set of exponent vectors of { ln<sub>≺</sub>(ℓ<sub>S</sub>) | S ⊆ [n − 1] } is the Hilbert basis of L<sub>n</sub>.
- This implies the LH theorem.
- Also, it implies that  $\{ \ell_S \mid S \subseteq [n-1] \}$  is a SAGBI basis for  $A_n$
- I verified the conjecture for n ≤ 12.
- Note: For n ≤ 12, the leading term of every ℓ<sub>S</sub> has coefficient 1. This is the reason for our choice of signs.

### Our main conjecture

Let  $\prec$  be the degree-lexicographic term order on  $S_n := \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  with  $y_1 \succ y_2 \succ \ldots \succ y_n$ , and let  $A_n = \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n]$ .

### Conjecture

- **1** The initial subalgebra  $\ln_{\prec}(A_n)$  equals the Ehrhart ring of  $\mathbf{L}_n$ .
- 2 The set of exponent vectors of { ln<sub>≺</sub>(ℓ<sub>S</sub>) | S ⊆ [n − 1] } is the Hilbert basis of L<sub>n</sub>.
- This implies the LH theorem.
- Also, it implies that  $\{ \ell_S \mid S \subseteq [n-1] \}$  is a SAGBI basis for  $A_n$
- I verified the conjecture for n ≤ 12.
- Note: For n ≤ 12, the leading term of every ℓ<sub>S</sub> has coefficient 1. This is the reason for our choice of signs.

### Our main conjecture

Let  $\prec$  be the degree-lexicographic term order on  $S_n := \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  with  $y_1 \succ y_2 \succ \ldots \succ y_n$ , and let  $A_n = \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n]$ .

### Conjecture

- **1** The initial subalgebra  $In_{\prec}(A_n)$  equals the Ehrhart ring of  $L_n$ .
- 2 The set of exponent vectors of { ln<sub>≺</sub>(ℓ<sub>S</sub>) | S ⊆ [n − 1] } is the Hilbert basis of L<sub>n</sub>.
- This implies the LH theorem.
- Also, it implies that  $\{ \ell_S \mid S \subseteq [n-1] \}$  is a SAGBI basis for  $A_n$
- I verified the conjecture for  $n \leq 12$ .
- Note: For n ≤ 12, the leading term of every ℓ<sub>S</sub> has coefficient 1. This is the reason for our choice of signs.

### Our main conjecture

Let  $\prec$  be the degree-lexicographic term order on  $S_n := \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  with  $y_1 \succ y_2 \succ \ldots \succ y_n$ , and let  $A_n = \mathbb{Q}[\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n]$ .

### Conjecture

- **1** The initial subalgebra  $\ln_{\prec}(A_n)$  equals the Ehrhart ring of  $\mathbf{L}_n$ .
- 2 The set of exponent vectors of { ln<sub>≺</sub>(ℓ<sub>S</sub>) | S ⊆ [n − 1] } is the Hilbert basis of L<sub>n</sub>.
- This implies the LH theorem.
- Also, it implies that  $\{ \ell_S \mid S \subseteq [n-1] \}$  is a SAGBI basis for  $A_n$
- I verified the conjecture for  $n \leq 12$ .
- Note: For n ≤ 12, the leading term of every ℓ<sub>S</sub> has coefficient 1. This is the reason for our choice of signs.

The end. Thank you